

Commenter: Pato Pidemnewe

Affiliation: FAO

From: Pato, Pidemnewe (OER) [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, April 26, 2024 6:54 AM

To: Pandemic Fund Consultations <pandemic_fund_consultations@worldbank.org>

Subject: Feed back to the draft of PPR SP

Dear Colleagues,

I hope you are doing well. I am writing about the inputs to the fruitful strategic plan developed by your team. More appreciate. Nevertheless, I would like to share my comments and inputs

Section 4. Cooperation, coordination, collaboration

Please on this we need also clarification on what is done to allow the IEs, when identified to align their intervention, and their Concept note to avoid unnecessary delay in the implementation. For example, if one IE has a fund disbursed before another one, that leads to some delay when we don't agree upon and devise the same frame, and time frame

Section 4.2

I heard about the Health Security Program (HeSP) actively working with WAHO (West African Health Organisation) and the World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH).

We can leverage this initiative to avoid duplications, overlapping

Section 6. Governance: need to raise the fact that the program needs closed supervision. Example One supervisor for all African countries that implement the PF

Cross-cutting issues :

- Encourage or establish Annual experience sharing among nearby countries such as Togo and Burkina Faso, Ethiopian, and Zambia
- Incentive mechanism to enable successful implementation countries to capture other resources to sustain their actions
- Raised also the communication guidance developed to frame this fund and his activities
- We have a second lunch, Is there any third lunch call ? That needs to be raised also. With time how many country be reached by the program?

I will get back if I have some additional informations

Best regards

Dr. Pidemnéwé PATO

Country Team Leader (CTL ECTAD)
Emergency Centre for Transboundary
Animal Diseases FAO Burkina Faso

